



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,082	09/18/2003	Paul Poh Loh Cheok	200304319-2	8340
7590 09/28/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			CONNOLLY, MARK A	
Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	O 80527-2400		2115	

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h			
'1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/667,082	CHEOK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Connolly	2115	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	August 2005.		
,	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 22-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 22-31 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers	·		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2002 is November 2002. Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	are: a) accepted or b) accepted or b) accepted or b) accepted in abeyaction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have beer au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) ☐ Interview Paper No	THOMAS LEE NISONY PARTITION SUMMary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

per

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 22-31 have been presented for examination.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "pointing the host processor to an instruction" found in claims 22 and 26, "changing the microcontroller to a like power state to that of the host processor" found in claims 23 and 27, "shutting down the microcontroller" found in claims 24 and 28 and "transitioning out of the low power state and executing said instruction" found in claims 30 and 31 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Application/Control Number: 10/667,082

Art Unit: 2115

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 22-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al [Lee] US Pat No 6405320 in view of Reneris US Pat No 6209088.
- 5. Referring to claim 22, Lee teaches the method comprising:
 - a. detecting a command to transition a host processor to a low power state [col. 2 lines 51-52].
 - b. writing a first sleep type to a first register to specify the low power state for the host processor [col. 6 lines 1-9 and 38-44, col. 7 lines 38-59, col. 8 lines 24-36].
 - c. notifying a microcontroller of the transition command to the host processor [col. 2 lines 52-54].
 - d. the host processor writing a second sleep type to a second register that controls the power state of the microcontroller, wherein said second sleep type is not recognized by the microcontroller [col. 8 lines 60-64, col. 9 lines 4-6 and 32-40]. Because is a memory comprising code which is executed by a processor it is interpreted that the BIOS writing the PM1a register contents into register PM1b which puts the computer system into a lower power mode is performed by the processor.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,082 Page 4

Art Unit: 2115

e. changing the power state of the microcontroller [col. 2 lines 53-54 and col. 8 lines 60-64]. Putting the computer system into a lower power mode is interpreted as changing the power state of the microcontroller since the microcontroller is part of the computer system.

Although Lee teaches the method for writing sleep types in a first and second register in order to control the systems power, it is not explicitly taught that an instruction is pointed to that when executed causes the second sleep type to be written into a second register. In summary, Lee does not teach defining a point to in which to suspend instruction execution before transitioning into a lower power state. Reneris explicitly teaches suspending instruction execution before transitioning into a lower power state [col. 5 lines 60-67 and col. 15 lines 49-52]. It would have been obvious to include the teachings of Reneris into the Lee system because it provides a means to safely "pause" the process execution of the computer system and allow the system to sleep in such a way that when the system wakes from the sleep state, process execution can resume where it left off.

- 6. Referring to claim 23, Lee teaches changing the microcontroller to a like power state to that of the processor [col. 7 lines 42-59, col. 9 lines 32-40 and 56-60]. In particular, PM1b control register defines a single power state and PM1b control register is used to control the power states of both the processor and microcontroller.
- 7. Referring to claim 24, Lee teaches shutting down the microcontroller [col. 9 lines 49-51].
- 8. Referring to claim 25, Lee teaches performing a custodial function [col. 9 lines 26-31].
- 9. Referring to claim 30, Reneris teaches transitioning out of a low power state and resuming instruction execution [col. 5 lines 60-67 and col. 15 lines 49-52].

Application/Control Number: 10/667,082

Art Unit: 2115

10. Referring to claims 26-29 and 31, these are rejected on the same basis as set forth

hereinabove. Lee and Reneris teach the method and therefore teach the system performing the

method.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mark Connolly whose telephone number is (571) 272-3666. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM (except every first Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-3667. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Connolly

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 2115

mc

September 22, 2005